| New Residential/Small Business Services Connected on Time 100.00% 98.50% 99.44% 98.99% 90.00% 98.50% 99.44% 98.99% 90.00% 98.50% 99.44% 98.99% 90.00% 98.50% 99.44% 98.99% 90.00% 98.50% 99. | | | | | | | | | | | | Target | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Service Quality On Time | Performance Outcomes | Performance Categories | Measures | | | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | Trend | Industry | Distributor |
| Telephone Calls Answered On Time | Customer Focus | Service Quality | | | | 93.90% | 100.00% | 98.50% | 99.44% | 98.99% | 0 | 90.00% | |
| Telephone Calls Answered On Time | | | Scheduled Appointments Met On Time | | | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.00% | 100.00% | 99.09% | O | 90.00% | |
| Customer Satisfaction Billing Accuracy 99.98% 100.00% 99.89% 99.97% 99.96% 100.00% 99.89% 99.97% 99.96% 100.00% 99.89% 99.97% 99.96% 100.00% 99.89% 100.00% 1 | identified customer | | Telephone Calls Answered On Time | | | 96.60% | 92.20% | 91.20% | 91.95% | 95.47% | O | 65.00% | |
| Continuous improvement in productivity and cost performance is achieved; and distributors deliver on system reliability objectives. System Reliability Asset Management Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress Cost Control Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress Cost Control State of Cost Control State of Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost | | Customer Satisfaction | First Contact Resolution | | | 99.58% | 99.96% | 99.96% | 99.92% | 99.14% | | | |
| Continuous improvement in productivity and cost performance is achieved; and distributors deliver on system reliability objectives. Safety Level of Complement (activation of the complement of the compleme | | | Billing Accuracy | | | 99.98% | 100.00% | 99.89% | 99.97% | 99.96% | U | 98.00% | |
| Continuous improvement in productivity and cost performance is achieved; and distributors deliver on system reliability objectives. System Reliability Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | Customer Satisfaction Survey Results | | | Α | Α | 76.10% | 76.10% | 80.70% | | | |
| Serious Electrical Number of General Public Incidents 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Continuous improvement in productivity and cost performance is achieved; and distributors deliver on system reliability and quality | Safety | | | | | | | | | | | |
| productivity and cost performance is achieved; and distributors deliver on system reliability and quality objectives. Average Number of Hours that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Asset Management Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress In-progress Completed Complete Completed | | | Level of Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04 | | | С | | | С | С | | | С |
| Average Number of Hours that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Interrupted 2 Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Interrupte | | | | Number of 0 | General Public Incidents | | 0 | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| Average Number of Hours that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted 2 Asset Management Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress In-progress. Completed Complete Complete Complete Complete Complete Complete Complete Total Cost per Customer 3 Total Cost per Km of Line 3 \$23,584 \$23,547 \$25,055 \$21,533 \$24,064 | | | Incident Index | Rate per 10 | , 100, 1000 km of line | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | 0.000 |
| Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is linterrupted 2 0.4 0.46 0.37 0.17 0.12 0.4 0.46 0.37 0.17 0.12 0.4 0.46 0.37 0.17 0.12 0.40 0.46 0.37 0.47 0.47 0.47 0.47 0.47 0.47 0.47 0.4 | | System Reliability | | | | 1.06 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.32 | 0.32 | O | | 0.59 |
| Efficiency Assessment 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Cost Control Total Cost per Customer 3 \$451 \$447 \$471 \$456 \$497 Total Cost per Km of Line 3 \$23,584 \$23,547 \$25,055 \$21,533 \$24,064 | | | | | | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0 | | 0.46 |
| Cost Control Total Cost per Customer 3 \$451 \$447 \$471 \$456 \$497 Total Cost per Km of Line 3 \$23,584 \$23,587 \$25,055 \$21,533 \$24,064 | | Asset Management | Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress | | | In-progress. | Completed | Complete | Complete | Completed | | | |
| Total Cost per Km of Line 3 \$23,584 \$23,547 \$25,055 \$21,533 \$24,064 | | | Efficiency Assessment | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | |
| | | Cost Control | Total Cost per Customer ³ | | | \$451 | \$447 | \$471 | \$456 | \$497 | | | |
| | | | Total Cost per Km of Line 3 | | | \$23,584 | \$23,547 | \$25,055 | \$21,533 | \$24,064 | | | |
| Management 18.40% 30.45% 60.95% 89.00% 12.17 GW | Public Policy Responsiveness Distributors deliver on obligations mandated by government (e.g., in legislation and in regulatory requirements imposed further to Ministerial directives to the Board). | Conservation & Demand Management | Net Cumulative Energy Savings ⁴ | | | | 18.40% | 30.45% | 60.95% | 89.00% | | | 12.17 GWh |
| obligations mandated by government (e.g., in legislation Connection of Renewable Renewable Generation Connection Impact Assessments Completed On Time 100.00% | | Connection of Renewable Generation | · | | | | 100.00% | | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | |
| imposed further to Ministerial New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% | | | New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time | | | | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | = | 90.00% | |
| Financial Performance Financial Ratios Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities) 1.68 1.88 1.25 0.82 1.62 | Financial Performance | Financial Ratios | Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities) | | | 1.68 | 1.88 | 1.25 | 0.82 | 1.62 | | | |
| Financial viability is maintained; and savings from operational Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio | and savings from operational | | | | | 1.18 | 1.09 | 1.03 | 1.07 | 1.07 | | | |
| Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) 9.12% 9.12% 9.12% 8.78% | effectiveness are sustainable. | | Profitability: Regulatory Return on Equity | | Deemed (included in rates) | 9.12% | 9.12% | 9.12% | 8.78% | 8.78% | | | |
| Return on Equity Achieved 6.50% 7.69% 7.72% 6.57% 7.76% | | | | | Achieved | 6.50% | 7.69% | 7.72% | 6.57% | 7.76% | | | |

^{1.} Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04 assessed: Compliant (C); Needs Improvement (NI); or Non-Compliant (NC).



^{2.} The trend's arrow direction is based on the comparison of the current 5-year rolling average to the distributor-specific target on the right. An upward arrow indicates decreasing reliability while downward indicates improving reliability.

^{3.} A benchmarking analysis determines the total cost figures from the distributor's reported information.

^{4.} The CDM measure is based on the 2015-2020 Conservation First Framework. 2018 results are based on the IESO's unverified savings values contained in the March 2019 Participation and Cost Report.

2018 Scorecard Management Discussion and Analysis ("2018 Scorecard MD&A")

The link below provides a document titled "Scorecard - Performance Measure Descriptions" that has the technical definition, plain language description and how the measure may be compared for each of the Scorecard's measures in the 2018 Scorecard MD&A: http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/OEB/ Documents/scorecard/Scorecard Performance Measure Descriptions.pdf

Scorecard MD&A - General Overview

Lakefront Utilities Inc. (LUI), continued to make investments in infrastructure to achieve sustained grid reliability. SCADA has been applied to operate the grid more strategically. Residents and business owners have benefited from the resulting dramatic reduction in outages. Out of 61 utilities in Ontario, we now rank 7th among the most reliable. LUI also ranks highly among its peers on customer responsiveness and satisfaction. Operational costs per customer are ranked the 4th lowest, and residential rates the 8th lowest in the province.

Lakefront Utilities is working towards upgrading their on-line customer experience to give customers the power to manage bills, save time and reduce their carbon footprint through our new website, customer portal and enhanced mobile application.

Further discussion on cost measures can be found below under the "Cost Control" section along with LUI's other performance outcomes involving: Customer Focus, Operational Effectiveness, Public Policy Responsiveness, and Financial Performance.

Service Quality

New Residential/Small Business Services Connected on Time

In 2018, LUI connected 196 residential and small business customers within the five- day timeline as prescribed by the Ontario Energy Board. The utility performed at 98.99% with meeting appointments on time, which exceeds the Board's target of 90%. The number of new connections is consistent with the prior year with a slight increase of

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 1 of 8

improvement. LUI works closely with developers to incorporate the connection work required during the various phases of construction to connect on time.

Scheduled Appointments Met On Time

LUI scheduled 109 appointments in 2018 to complete work requested by customers. Similar to prior years, the utility performed well, at 99.09%. Meeting these appointments on time exceeds the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) target of 90%. LUI utilizes mCare to eliminate possible misreading of handwritten information, providing real-time data for efficient scheduling and service time allocation in the field. This technology has created efficiencies, internal controls and subsequently improved with accurate reporting requirements to the OEB.

• Telephone Calls Answered On Time

LUI received 4,280 qualifying incoming calls in the year 2018. The Distribution System Code (DSC) require calls to be answered within 30 seconds when a customer calls into the customer care line. The Ontario Energy Board has a target for utilities to achieve at least a 65% answering time within 30 seconds from qualifying incoming calls. LUI exceeded these expectations by performing at 95.47%.

Customer Satisfaction

First Contact Resolution

The Ontario Energy Board issued a new measure to see how successful utilities are at resolving customer requests from the first point of contact with the utility, starting July 1, 2014. Since this was a new implementation, utilities were given the opportunity to independently strategize how they could measure their first contact resolution.

LUI measures this performance by logging all calls, letters, and emails received, and tracks them to determine if the inquiry was successfully answered at the first point of contact. A series of logged calls would be created to assist the customer service representative to accurately choose the logged call pertaining to the inquiry received. A specific service order has been created to track any call, letter, or email that were not resolved at the first point of contact.

LUI performed at 99.14% with logging only 24 requests needing secondary attempts to resolve.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 2 of 8

Billing Accuracy

It is a crucial part of our business to ensure accuracy on our customer's bill. LUI performs due diligence by testing the consumption levels in correlation to the amount expensed to its customers. The utility also performs analysis of meter reading data and fixing any errors that may arise, before it is input onto the customer's bill.

In 2018, LUI issued 126,409 bills with 53 being inaccurate and requiring corrections and reissuing. LUI performed at 99.96% which is above OEB's standard of 98%.

Customer Satisfaction Survey Results

LUI completed a survey in 2018 based on question scoring and index methodologies prescribed by the Electricity Distribution Association and a market research company called Innovative. A sample size of over 4% of LUI's customers were interviewed. Based on the survey results, LUI received an index score of 80.70%, an improvement of approximately 4 % from the prior year.

Safety

Public Safety

Public Safety is a new measure introduced by the Ontario Energy Board. It is generated by the Electrical Safety Authority and consists of three components: Public Awareness of Electrical Safety, Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04, and the Serious Electrical Incident Index. Details of these three components are indicated below:

Component A – Public Awareness of Electrical Safety

Component A is a survey that measures the public's awareness of key electrical safety concepts related to electrical distribution equipment found in a utility's territory. The survey provides a benchmark of the levels of awareness identifying areas where education and awareness efforts may be needed. This survey was conducted in 2018 and LUI achieved a rating of 83.30%.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 3 of 8

The six questions outlined the following percentage of respondents that selected the "best answer" out of 400 randomly selected residents 18 years+ residing in LUI's service area:

- Likelihood to call before you dig: 65% would definitely call before digging.
- Impact of touching a power line: 98% think touching a power line in very dangerous.
- Proximity to overhead power line: 49% believe you should maintain a distance of 6 metres or more.
- Danger of tampering with electrical equipment: 93% believe tampering with equipment is very dangerous.
- Proximity to downed power line: 76% believe you should maintain a distance of 10 metres or more.
- Actions taken in vehicle in contact with wires: 91% believe you should stay in a vehicle until the power has been disconnected from the line.

Component B – Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04

Component B consists of utility compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04 - Electrical Distribution Safety. Ontario Regulation 22/04 establishes the safety requirements for the design, construction, and maintenance of electrical distribution systems, particularly in relation to the approvals and inspections required prior to putting electrical equipment into service. Lakefront Utilities Inc. was found to be compliant with Ontario Regulation 22/04 (Electrical Distribution Safety).

Component C – Serious Electrical Incident Index

Component C consists of the number of serious electrical incidents and fatalities, which may occur within a utility's service territory. This measure is intended to address the impacts and need for improving public electrical safety on the distribution network. Lakefront Utilities Inc. rated 0.00 for serious electrical incidents per 100 km of line in 2018, similar to their achievements for the prior years.

System Reliability

Average Number of Hours that Power to a Customer is Interrupted

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 4 of 8

The average hours that power is interrupted is a measure of system reliability. LUI is continuously improving the reliability of electricity being delivered to its customers by replacing equipment and performing the necessary maintenance on its distribution infrastructure. In 2018, LUI performed well measuring an average of 0.32 hours that power was interrupted to its customers, similar from 2017's average of 0.32 hours. The expected target to perform as prescribed by the OEB is 0.59. LUI continues to view reliability of electricity service as a high priority for its customers and as such, reports this reliability statistic to its Board of Directors at quarterly meetings.

Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted

Similar to the above, the average number of times that power to a customer is interrupted is a measure to determine the system reliability of delivering electricity. The OEB expects the utility to perform at an average of 0.46. The average number of times LUI's customers had power interruption was 0.12 times and improvement from 2017's average of 0.17 hours. LUI is proud with these results and is focusing on continuing this downward trend in future years.

Asset Management

Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress

As a filing requirement with the Ontario Energy Board, a Distribution System Plan (DSP) needs to be completed by utilities consisting of several areas such as investment lifecycles, maintenance planning, renewable energy plans, and asset management policies. The DSP outlines LUI's forecasted capital expenditures, over the next five (5) years, required to maintain and expand the electricity system to service its current and future customers.

The key areas of focus in LUI's 5 year DSP include:

- Performance Measurement for Continuous Improvement
- Asset Management and Capital Investment Process
- Overview of Assets Managed
- Asset Lifecycle Optimization Policies and Practices
- Capital Expenditure Plan and Process Overview

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 5 of 8

Cost Control

• Efficiency Assessment

The Ontario Energy Board acquired expert consultants from the Pacific Economics Group LLC (PEG) to evaluate electric distributor's efficiencies. These efficiencies are based on each utility's actual cost compared to the average levels predicted by a study conducted by PEG. Based on the efficiency levels achieved, each utility is grouped in their ranking with the most efficient being assigned to Group 1 and the least efficient to Group 5.

From 2013 to 2018, Lakefront was assigned to Group 2. With approximately 61 electrical distributors across Ontario, LUI achieved a place in the top 2 ranked groups.

• Total Cost per Customer

The total cost per customer is the sum of Lakefront's capital and operating costs incurred divided by the total number of customers that the distributor serves. LUI's total cost per customer for 2018 was \$497.

Total Cost per Km of Line

The total cost per Km of line is a similar measure as above where it can be used as a comparable to other utilities and its past performance levels in terms of cost efficiencies. The total cost is divided by the kilometers of line that LUI operates to serve its customers. In 2018, LUI's cost per Km of line was \$24,064.

Conservation & Demand Management

Net Cumulative Energy Savings

By leveraging IESO's Save On Energy programs, LUI helped residential, low income, commercial and industrial customers better understand and reduce their energy usage through the range of energy conservation tools, resources, programs and incentives. CDM programs were overhauled in March 2019 by uploading the delivery of conservation programs to the IESO. Before this transition, LUI successfully met 89% of its goal of reducing 12,170 Megawatt Hours (MWh) of electricity consumption within LUI's service territory by 2020. LUI continues to look at opportunities to lower hydro bills with tools such as our mobile app and our new customer portal.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 6 of 8

Connection of Renewable Generation

• Renewable Generation Connection Impact Assessments Completed on Time

In 2018, LUI had two Renewable Generation Connection Impact Assessments to complete.

New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time

Micro-embedded generations are supplied from renewable energy sources such as sun, wind, and water at a capacity of less than 10 kW. These connections are to be completed within 5 business days as prescribed by the Ontario Energy Board (OEB). The OEB's mandatory target of connecting on time is 90%. In 2018, LUI connected 6 new micro-embedded generations within the required timeframe performing at 100%, exceeding the Board's standard.

Financial Ratios

Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities)

The current ratio is a test to see if a company is capable of paying its short-term debts and financial obligations. A ratio under 1 indicates the company's current liabilities is greater than its current assets possibly causing them the inability to meet their short-term obligations. On the other hand, a greater than 1 ratio shows the company has a good standing with meeting its creditor's demand. Although, it depends from industry to industry an adequate current ratio falls between 1.5 and 3.

In 2018, LUI's current ratio was 1.62 which increased from the prior year by 0.8, mainly the result of slight fluctuations in accounts receivable and accounts payable.

• Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio

The total debt to equity ratio is a measure of financial leverage used to finance a company's assets. This leverage is evaluated from the proportion between the shareholder's equity and debt. Ideally, the Ontario Energy Board structured the capital mix at a 60/40 (or 1.5) ratio. A ratio of more than 1.5 means the company may be highly leveraged with financing and possibly unable to generate adequate cash flow to pay its debt.

LUI's debt-to-equity ratio is 1.07 in 2018, equal to 2017. LUI's debt-to-equity ratio is consistent with prior years and

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 7 of 8

remains steady as a result of the fluctuations in accounts payable.

• Profitability: Regulatory Return on Equity - Deemed (included in rates)

In 2016, a rate application was submitted by LUI to the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) where a deemed rate of 8.78% was approved. The OEB permits an electricity distributor to earn within +/- 3% of the expected 9.12% return of equity. When a distributor performs outside of this earning threshold, a regulatory audit of the distributor's financials could be initiated by the OEB.

• Profitability: Regulatory Return on Equity - Achieved

LUI achieved a return of equity of 7.76% in 2018, which is within the 5.12% to 11.78% range allowed by the Ontario Energy Board. The consistency with meeting the required return allowance has been evident since 2011 as per LUI's scorecard. LUI makes every effort to comply with its profitability levels by regularly managing its financial position with a cost reduction approach as opposed to revenue generation.

The information provided by distributors on their future performance (or what can be construed as forward-looking information) may be subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual events, conditions or results to differ materially from historical results or those contemplated by the distributor regarding their future performance. Some of the factors that could cause such differences include legislative or regulatory developments, financial market conditions, general economic conditions and the weather. For these reasons, the information on future performance is intended to be management's best judgement on the reporting date of the performance scorecard and could be markedly different in the future.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 8 of 8